**Abstract – Grading Rubric (20 pts possible)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section** | **4 pts** | **3 pts** | **2 pts** | **1 pt** | **0 pts** |
| **Introduction summary** | 1 or 2 sentences including justification or broader context and study objective | 1 mistake such as justification or objective is poorly explained  | 2 mistakes such as justification and/or objective poorly explained or missing | 3 or more mistakes | No introduction summary included |
| **Methods summary** | All experimental methods summarized, order follows full methods section, no more than 3 sentences | 1 mistake such as confusing explanation, section out of order, improper length, missing method | 2 mistakes | 3 or more mistakes | No methods summary included |
| **Results summary** | Primary results presented, order follows full results section, no more than 3 sentences, results not intermingled with discussion | 1 mistake such as confusing description, out of order, missing result, discussion intermingled with results | 2 mistakes | 3 or more mistakes | No results included |
| **Discussion summary** | 1 to 2 sentences stating the paper’s most important conclusion(s), must be separate sentence from results | 1 mistake such as missing the main conclusion, confusing description, length, mingled with results | 2 mistakes | 3 or mistakes | No discussion summary included |
| **Grammar/spelling** |  |  | Perfect or near perfect grammar, spelling, clear sentence and paragraph structure | 4 to 8 mistakes | 9 or more mistakes |
| **Form** |  |  | Sections presented in appropriate order, 300 words max, double spaced, typed, name on paper | 1 to 2 mistakes | 2 or more mistakes |
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